United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

December 18, 2020 David J. Bradlev. Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

MICHAEL CARDORA ROBERSON,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:20-CV-223
	§
EVELYN CASTRO, et al.,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff Michael Cardora Roberson ("Plaintiff") filed this action pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1983 while he was housed at the McConnell Unit in Bee County, Texas against various McConnell Unit prison officials ("Defendants"). (D.E. 1; D.E. 14). He claims that Defendants' alleged failure to protect him against threats endangering his life was a violation of his Eighth Amendment rights. (D.E. 1; D.E. 14).

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Jason Libby's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R"). (D.E. 14). In the M&R, Judge Libby states that Plaintiff has had three prior actions dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, thereby barring Plaintiff from filing this civil suit *in forma pauperis* unless Plaintiff was in imminent danger of physical injury at the time he filed this action. *Id.* at 4; *Choyce v. Dominguez*, 160 F.3d 1068, 1071 (5th Cir. 1998) (per curiam) (citing *Baños v. O'Guin*, 144 F.3d 883, 884–85 (5th Cir. 1998) (per curiam)). After reviewing the complaint (D.E. 1) and conducting a *Spears* hearing on October 14, 2020, Judge Libby found that Plaintiff failed to meet the imminent danger test set forth in 28

U.S.C. § 1915. (D.E. 14, p. 5). As a result, Judge Libby vacated the order granting

Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis and recommends that this Court

dismiss the case because it is barred by the three strikes rule set forth in § 1915(g). (D.E. 14;

see D.E. 15).

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the

Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); General Order

No. 2002-13. Plaintiff timely filed objections to the M&R. (D.E. 17). Having carefully

reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the M&R, the record, the applicable

law, and having made a de novo review of the portions of the M&R to which Plaintiff's

objections were directed, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court OVERRULES Plaintiff's

objections. (D.E. 17). Accordingly, the Court:

(1) **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety. (D.E. 14).

(2) **DISMISSES** the case in its entirety.

Plaintiff is currently housed at the Connally Unit located in Karnes County Texas,

which is in the San Antonio Division of the Western District of Texas. (D.E. 14, p. 5 n.2).

As the M&R explains, if Plaintiff seeks to overcome the three strikes bar in connection

with his current confinement, he may file a separate action in the San Antonio division of

the Western District of Texas. Id.

SO ORDERED.

DAVID S. MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Corpus Christi, Texas
December 17, 2020

2/2